

Gauge Invariance and Hadron Structure

Xiang-Song Chen and Fan Wang

Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

We prove that the *gauge dependent* gluon spin, gluon and quark orbital angular momenta operators have *gauge invariant* expectation values on hadron states with *definite* momentum and polarization, therefore the conventional decomposition of nucleon spin into contributions from the spin and orbital angular momentum of quark and gluon is *gauge independent*. Similar conclusions apply to the *gauge dependent* quark momentum and kinetic energy operators, and accordingly nucleon momentum and mass structures.

PACS numbers: 11.15.-q, 13.88.+e, 14.20.Dh, 14.70.Dj

Gauge invariance is considered as one of the most fundamental principles in modern physics. All physical laws and observables are believed to be invariant under gauge transformations, and hence should be given gauge invariant theoretical descriptions. Yet we sometimes do encounter gauge non-invariance. A case in point, which is well known in the contemporary extensive studies of nucleon spin structure, is that the gluon spin, gluon and quark orbital angular momenta operators are not separately gauge invariant. And in retrospect, the electron angular momentum operator whose expectation values are usually used to label the atomic energy levels, is not gauge invariant either. Then regarding the principle of gauge invariance, how should we, if not impossible, give definite physical meanings to these operators, and especially to the labeling of atomic energy levels which we have talked about for many decades?

One possible approach to solve this problem explored in the studies of nucleon spin structure is to find a particular gauge in which the gauge dependent operator can be related to experimental quantities. For example, in light-cone coordinates and light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$ the gluon spin can be related to the polarized gluon distribution measured in hard QCD processes [1,2]. In this paper, we will examine a more appealing possibility: since what are related to experimental quantities are not the quantum operators themselves, but their matrix elements on physical states, we will not worry about the gauge dependence of operators if they give gauge invariant matrix elements on the studied states. We find that this is indeed the case for the operators concerning hadron mass, momentum, and spin structures. These operators, even though gauge dependent, have gauge invariant expectation values on hadron states with definite momentum and polarization.

To be specific, let's begin with the nucleon spin structure. The QCD rotation generator can be separated into four parts

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{J}_{QCD} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \vec{\Sigma} \psi + \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \vec{x} \times \frac{1}{i} \vec{\partial} \psi \\ &\quad + 2 \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left\{ \vec{E} \times \vec{A} \right\} + 2 \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left\{ E_i \vec{x} \times \vec{\partial} A_i \right\} \\ &\equiv \vec{S}_q + \vec{L}_q + \vec{S}_g + \vec{L}_g,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where and below any quantity is defined in the same way as in ref. [3], and repeated indices are summed over. They have the obvious interpretations of quark spin, quark orbital angular momentum, gluon spin, and gluon orbital angular momentum respectively in the canonical formalism. However, there exists the well known difficulty that $\vec{L}_q$, $\vec{S}_g$, and $\vec{L}_g$ are not separately gauge invariant, therefore their physical significance seems obscure. Great efforts have been devoted to overcoming this difficulty. One example is what we have mentioned above for gluon spin, to study the experimental significance of these operators in a particular gauge. And on the other hand, one may naturally want to find gauge invariant operators for gluon spin, gluon and quark orbital angular momenta. Ji [4] and ourselves [5] have indeed obtained an explicitly gauge invariant separation of QCD angular momentum operator

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{J}_{QCD} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \vec{\Sigma} \psi + \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \vec{x} \times \frac{1}{i} \vec{\partial} \psi \\ &\quad + 2 \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left\{ \vec{x} \times \left(\vec{E} \times \vec{B} \right) \right\} \\ &\equiv \vec{S}_q + \vec{L}'_q + \vec{J}'_g.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

However, a further decomposition of $\vec{J}'_g$ into gauge invariant gluon spin and orbital parts is lacking, and $\vec{L}'_q$ and $\vec{J}'_g$ do not obey the $\vec{J} \times \vec{J} = i\vec{J}$ algebra even when ψ and A refer to bare fields, although $\vec{J}'_g$ does in a pure gauge field theory. Therefore one should even hesitate a little to call them angular momentum operators.

Now go back to the gauge dependence of the decomposition in eq.(1). Does it really mean that we are unable to discuss gluon spin, gluon and quark orbital angular momenta without referring to a particular gauge? And consequently, only in a particular gauge does the decomposition of nucleon spin into corresponding contributions make sense? Or actually makes no sense at all since gauge invariance is committed so much priority in a gauge theory like QCD? A more realistic problem: have we made great negligence in the scores of years of talking about quark or electron angular momentum in quark models or atomic physics? And they actually also have no definite physical meanings?

We find that the problem is, fortunately, not so serious. The point is that although the gluon spin, gluon and quark orbital angular momenta, or electron angular momentum operators are all gauge dependent, their contributions to nucleon or atomic spin, which are defined as their expectation values on nucleon or atomic states with *definite* momentum and polarization, are all gauge *independent*. We give below the proof.

To obtain the matrix element like $\langle p_1 s_1 | \vec{L}_q | p_2 s_2 \rangle$ (with $|ps\rangle$ a nucleon state with momentum p and polarization s), we consider the following three-point Green function:

$$G_{N_l \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2} \equiv \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 e^{-ip_1 \cdot x_1} e^{-ip_2 \cdot x_2} \langle 0 | T \{ N_l(x_1) \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger(x_2) \} | 0 \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where $N_l(x)$ is the nucleon interpolating operator.

As p_1, p_2 go to the nucleon mass shell, $G_{N_l \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} G_{N_l \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2} \xrightarrow{p_{1,2}^2 \rightarrow -m^2} & \frac{-2i\sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}}{p_1^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon} (2\pi)^3 \sum_{ss'} \langle 0 | N_l(0) | p_1 s \rangle \langle p_1 s | \vec{L}_q | p_2 s' \rangle \\ & \times \langle p_2 s' | N_{l'}^\dagger(0) | 0 \rangle (2\pi)^3 \frac{-2i\sqrt{p_2^2 + m^2}}{p_2^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Using Lorentz invariance to write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | N_l(0) | p_1 s \rangle &= (2\pi)^{-3/2} Z u_l(p_1 s), \\ \langle p_2 s' | N_{l'}^\dagger(0) | 0 \rangle &= (2\pi)^{-3/2} Z^* u_{l'}^*(p_2 s'), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $u_l(ps)$ is the Dirac spinor with normalization $\sum_l u_l^*(ps) u_l(ps') = \delta_{ss'}$, and multiplying $G_{N_l \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2}$ with $(2\pi)^{-3/2} u_l^*(p_1 s_1) (2\pi)^{-3/2} u_{l'}(p_2 s_2)$ and summing over ll' , we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_{N_l \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 s_1 p_2 s_2} &\equiv \sum_{ll'} (2\pi)^{-3/2} u_l^*(p_1 s_1) G_{N_l \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2} (2\pi)^{-3/2} u_{l'}(p_2 s_2) \\ &\xrightarrow{p_{1,2}^2 \rightarrow -m^2} \frac{-2i\sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}}{p_1^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon} |Z|^2 \langle p_1 s_1 | \vec{L}_q | p_2 s_2 \rangle \frac{-2i\sqrt{p_2^2 + m^2}}{p_2^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The matrix element in eq.(6) is just what we want. The normalization factor $|Z|^2$ can be obtained from the two-point Green function

$$\begin{aligned} G_{N_l N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2} &\equiv \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 e^{-ip_1 \cdot x_1} e^{-ip_2 \cdot x_2} \langle 0 | T \{ N_l(x_1) N_{l'}^\dagger(x_2) \} | 0 \rangle \\ &\xrightarrow{p_1^2 \rightarrow -m^2} \frac{-2i\sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}}{p_1^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon} |Z|^2 \sum_s u_l(p_1 s) u_{l'}^*(p_1 s) (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Eqs.(6, 7) show clearly that the gauge dependence of $\langle p_1 s_1 | \vec{L}_q | p_2 s_2 \rangle$ is equivalent to that of the ratio $G_{N_l \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 s_1 p_2 s_2} / G_{N_l N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2}$. To explore the gauge dependence of $G_{N_l \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 s_1 p_2 s_2}$ and $G_{N_l N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2}$, we use the method of functional integral to write

$$\begin{aligned} G_{N_l \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 s_1 p_2 s_2} &= \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 e^{-ip_1 \cdot x_1} e^{-ip_2 \cdot x_2} \sum_{ll'} (2\pi)^{-3/2} u_l^*(p_1 s_1) (2\pi)^{-3/2} u_{l'}(p_2 s_2) \\ &\quad \times \int \prod_{n,x} d\phi_n(x) e^{iI} N_l(x_1) \vec{L}_q N_{l'}^\dagger(x_2) B[f[\phi]] \text{Det} \mathcal{F}[\phi] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

(and likewise for $G_{N_l N_l^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2}$), where $\phi_n(x)$ denotes collectively the quark and gluon fields, $B[f[\phi]]$ is some functional of a general gauge-fixing functional $f_\alpha[\phi; x]$, and $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha x, \beta y}[\phi] \equiv \frac{\delta f_\alpha[\phi_\lambda; x]}{\delta \lambda^\beta(y)} \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \quad (9)$$

where $\phi_\lambda(x)$ is the result of $\phi(x)$ after a gauge transformation with parameters $\lambda^\alpha(x)$.

By saying that a matrix element or Green function is gauge independent, we mean that it is independent of the choice of the gauge-fixing functional $f_\alpha[\phi; x]$ and the functional $B[f]$ up to an irrelevant field-independent constant factor. To demonstrate the gauge independence of $G_{N_l N_l^\dagger}^{p_1 s_1 p_2 s_2}$ and $G_{N_l N_l^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2}$, we first give a brief review of the standard proof of gauge independence for the Green function of *gauge invariant* operators $O_1 O_2 \cdots O_i$ [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{O_1 \cdots O_i} &\equiv \langle 0 | T \{ O_1 \cdots O_i \} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int \prod_{n,x} d\phi_n(x) e^{iI} O_1 \cdots O_i B[f[\phi]] \text{Det} \mathcal{F}[\phi]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Change the integration variable from ϕ to ϕ_ω , with $\omega^\alpha(x)$ an arbitrary set of gauge transformation parameters, and make use of the gauge invariance of the measure $\prod_{n,x} d\phi_n(x)$, the action I , and the operators $O_1 \cdots O_i$, we have

$$G_{O_1 \cdots O_i} = \int \prod_{n,x} d\phi_n(x) e^{iI} O_1 \cdots O_i B[f[\phi_\omega]] \text{Det} \mathcal{F}[\phi_\omega]. \quad (11)$$

It can be verified that [6]

$$\text{Det} \mathcal{F}[\phi_\omega] = \text{Det} \mathcal{J}[\phi, \omega] \cdot \text{Det} \mathcal{R}[\omega], \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{\beta y}^{\alpha x}[\omega] = \frac{\delta \tilde{\omega}^\alpha(x; \omega, \lambda)}{\delta \lambda^\beta(y)} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \quad (13)$$

(with $\tilde{\omega}^\alpha$ the parameters of the associate transformation $e^{-i\lambda(x)} e^{-i\omega(x)} \equiv e^{-i\tilde{\omega}(x)}$) is solely a functional of $\omega^\alpha(x)$, and

$$\text{Det} \mathcal{J}[\phi, \omega] = \text{Det} \frac{\delta f_\alpha[\phi_\omega; x]}{\delta \omega^\beta(y)} \quad (14)$$

is just the Jacobian when transforming the integration variables from $\omega^\beta(y)$ to $f_\alpha[\phi_\omega; x]$. Therefore if we multiply both sides of eq.(11) with the weight functional

$$\rho[\omega] = 1/\text{Det} \mathcal{R}[\omega] \quad (15)$$

and integrate over $\omega^\alpha(x)$, then by noting that $G_{O_1 \cdots O_i}$ is independent of $\omega^\alpha(x)$, we get

$$G_{O_1 \cdots O_i} = \frac{\int \prod_{n,x} d\phi_n(x) e^{iI} O_1 \cdots O_i C[\phi]}{\int \prod_{\alpha,x} d\omega^\alpha(x) \rho[\omega]}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$C[\phi] \equiv \int \prod_{\alpha,x} d\omega^\alpha(x) \rho[\omega] B[f[\phi_\omega]] \text{Det} \mathcal{F}[\phi_\omega] \quad (17)$$

carries all the dependence of $G_{O_1 \cdots O_i}$ on the gauge-fixing functional $f[\phi; x]$ and the functional $B[f]$. Now make use of eqs.(12, 15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C[\phi] &= \int \prod_{\alpha,x} d\omega^\alpha(x) \text{Det} \mathcal{J}[\phi, \omega] B[f[\phi_\omega]] \\ &= \int \prod_{\alpha,x} df_\alpha(x) B[f] \equiv C. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This is clearly independent of the choice of $f[\phi, x]$, which has been reduced to a mere variable of integration, and it depends on $B[f]$ only through a ϕ -independent constant C . Thus we proved that $G_{O_1 \dots O_i}$ is gauge independent as the operators $O_1 \dots O_i$ are gauge invariant.

Now we come to $G_{N\vec{L}_q N^\dagger}^{p_1 s_1 p_2 s_2}$ and $G_{N_l N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2}$. Since nucleon is color singlet, the nucleon interpolating operator $N_l(x)$ is SU(3) gauge invariant. Therefore according to the above proof, $G_{N_l N_{l'}^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2}$ is SU(3) gauge independent. However, $\vec{L}_q$ is SU(3) non-invariant. After an arbitrary gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &\rightarrow U(x)\psi, \\ A_\mu(x) &\rightarrow U(x)A_\mu U^{-1}(x) + \frac{i}{g}U(x)\partial_\mu U^{-1}(x)\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

$\vec{L}_q$ induces an extra term

$$\delta\vec{L}_q = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \left(U^{-1}\vec{x} \times \frac{1}{i}\vec{\partial}U \right) \psi,\tag{20}$$

where $U(x) = e^{-i\omega(x)} = e^{-i\omega^\alpha(x)T^\alpha}$. So if we also change the integration variable from ϕ to ϕ_ω as we did for $G_{O_1 \dots O_i}$, $G_{N\vec{L}_q N^\dagger}^{p_1 s_1 p_2 s_2}$ becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}G_{N\vec{L}_q N^\dagger}^{p_1 s_1 p_2 s_2} &= \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 e^{-ip_1 \cdot x_1} e^{-ip_2 \cdot x_2} \sum_{ll'} (2\pi)^{-3/2} u_l^*(p_1 s_1) (2\pi)^{-3/2} u_{l'}(p_2 s_2) \\ &\quad \times \int \prod_{n,x} d\phi_n(x) e^{iI} N_l(x_1) (\vec{L}_q + \delta\vec{L}_q) N_{l'}^\dagger(x_2) B[f[\phi_\omega]] \text{Det}\mathcal{F}[\phi_\omega] \\ &\equiv G_{\vec{L}_q} + G_{\delta\vec{L}_q}.\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

Due to the extra term $G_{\delta\vec{L}_q}$, the above proof of gauge invariance does not simply apply. However, if we can show that $G_{\delta\vec{L}_q}$ actually equals zero, then the above proof *applies* and hence $G_{N\vec{L}_q N^\dagger}^{p_1 s_1 p_2 s_2}$ is gauge independent.

Comparing the expression of $G_{\delta\vec{L}_q}$ with eqs.(8, 6, 3) shows

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\delta\vec{L}_q} &= \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 e^{-ip_1 \cdot x_1} e^{-ip_2 \cdot x_2} \sum_{ll'} (2\pi)^{-3/2} u_l^*(p_1 s_1) (2\pi)^{-3/2} u_{l'}(p_2 s_2) \left\langle 0 \left| T \left\{ N_l(x_1) \delta\vec{L}'_q N_{l'}^\dagger(x_2) \right\} \right| 0 \right\rangle \\ &\xrightarrow{p_{1,2}^2 \rightarrow -m^2} \frac{-2i\sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}}{p_1^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon} |Z|^2 \left\langle p_1 s_1 \left| \delta\vec{L}'_q \right| p_2 s_2 \right\rangle \frac{-2i\sqrt{p_2^2 + m^2}}{p_2^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon},\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\vec{L}'_q &= \int d^3x (U^{-1}\psi)^\dagger \left(U^{-1}\vec{x} \times \frac{1}{i}\vec{\partial}U \right) (U^{-1}\psi) \\ &= - \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \left(U\vec{x} \times \frac{1}{i}\vec{\partial}U^{-1} \right) \psi.\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

Without losing generality, we let the nucleon polarize along the third direction: $J_{QCD}^3 |ps\rangle = s |ps\rangle$. We will demonstrate that $\delta L_q^{3'}$ can be expressed as a commutator with J_{QCD}^3 , then eq.(22) shows clearly the result we aimed at: $G_{\delta\vec{L}_q}$ vanishes if $s_1 = s_2$ and hence $G_{N\vec{L}_q N^\dagger}^{p_1 s p_2 s}$ is gauge independent.

To obtain the commutator expression of $\delta\vec{L}'_q$, we express the pure gauge form $U(x)\partial_\mu U^{-1}(x)$ in terms $\omega(x)$ [7]

$$U\partial_\mu U^{-1} = e^{-i\omega} \partial_\mu e^{i\omega} = i \int_0^1 d\tau e^{-i\tau\omega} (\partial_\mu \omega) e^{i\tau\omega}.\tag{24}$$

Define $U_\tau = e^{i\tau\omega}$, $\psi_\tau = U_\tau \psi$, and note $(\vec{x} \times \vec{\partial})^3 = \partial_\varphi$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta L_q^{3'} &= - \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \psi_\tau^\dagger (\partial_\varphi \omega) \psi_\tau \\
&= - \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \{ \partial_\varphi (\psi_\tau^\dagger \omega \psi_\tau) - \omega^l \partial_\varphi (\psi_\tau^\dagger T^l \psi_\tau) \} \\
&= - \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \varepsilon^{ij3} \partial_j (x_i \psi_\tau^\dagger \omega \psi_\tau) \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x i [J_{QCD}^3, \psi_\tau^\dagger \omega \psi_\tau],
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where in the last step we have noticed that ω^α is a c -function commuting with J_{QCD}^3 , and $\psi_\tau^\dagger T^\alpha \psi_\tau$ is a scalar operator under spatial rotation, therefore $\partial_\varphi (\psi_\tau^\dagger T^\alpha \psi_\tau) = -i [J_{QCD}^3, \psi_\tau^\dagger T^\alpha \psi_\tau]$, which is analogous to the Heisenberg equation of motion $\partial_t O = i [H_{QCD}, O]$. Another critical point in this observation is that, as can be seen from the explicitly gauge invariant expression in eq.(2), the total angular momentum operator $\vec{J}_{QCD}$ is invariant under gauge transformations, i.e., it can be equivalently expressed in terms of ψ , A_μ or ψ_τ , $A_\mu^\tau \equiv U_\tau^{-1} A_\mu U_\tau + \frac{i}{g} U_\tau^{-1} \partial_\mu U_\tau$.

The first term in the final expression of eq.(25) is a surface term. Note that as $|\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty$, $\psi_\tau^\dagger \psi_\tau$ vanishes faster than $|\vec{x}|^{-3}$, therefore this term vanishes after integration. Such surface terms will be encountered again and again later in this paper, and can all be shown to vanish by similar arguments as here, so we will drop them out without further explanations.

Now all we are left with in eq.(25) is a commutator, as we aimed to show. Thus we have proved that both $G_{N\vec{L}_q N^\dagger}^{p_1 s p_2 s}$ and $G_{NN^\dagger}^{p_1 p_2}$ are SU(3) gauge independent. Then according to our above explanations following eq.(7), $\langle p_1 s | \vec{L}_q | p_2 s \rangle$ is SU(3) gauge independent.

In the case of U(1) gauge transformation, the pure gauge form $U \partial_\mu U^{-1}$ is just $i \partial_\mu \omega$, so some of the above algebras become simpler. However, since the proton carries electric charge e , $N_l(x_1) N_l^\dagger(x_2)$ acquires an extra phase factor $e^{-ie\omega(x_1)} e^{ie\omega(x_2)}$ after U(1) gauge transformation. But this phase factor makes no trouble: the only modification we need is to change the choice of weight-functional in eq.(15) to $\rho[\omega] = e^{ie\omega(x_1)} e^{-ie\omega(x_2)} / \text{Det} \mathcal{R}[\omega]$; and without modifying any of the above conclusions.¹ Therefore $\langle p_1 s | \vec{L}_q | p_2 s \rangle$ is also U(1) gauge independent, and we do not have to worry about the physical meaning of the labeling of atomic energy levels any more in spite of the gauge dependence of the electron angular momentum operator.

We summarize our above analyses as follows: if after an arbitrary gauge transformation with parameters $\omega^\alpha(x)$, the extra term δO_ω acquired by some *gauge dependent* operator O can be expressed as commutators with the rotation and/or translation generators of the system, then O has *gauge independent* expectation value on the states with *definite* momentum and polarization. (Actually what appears in the calculations is $\delta O'_\omega$, the result of applying an “anti-transformation” with parameters $-\omega^\alpha(x)$ to δO_ω , as shown in eqs.(22, 23). But since applying this “anti-transformation” to $(O + \delta O_\omega)$ just gives the original O , $\delta O'_\omega$ is just $-\delta O_\omega$; therefore if δO_ω can be expressed as a commutator, so does $\delta O'_\omega$.) In the remainder of this paper, we will show that all the operators concerning nucleon spin, momentum, and mass structures meet this requirement.

First we look at the other two gauge dependent operators concerning spin structure: L_g^3 and S_g^3 . Under the above gauge transformation in eq.(19), we have (from now on U_τ is defined as $e^{-i\tau\omega}$ instead of $e^{i\tau\omega}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta L_g^3 &= 2 \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left\{ U E_i U^{-1} \partial_\varphi \left(U A_i U^{-1} + \frac{i}{g} U \partial_i U^{-1} \right) - E_i \partial_\varphi A_i \right\} \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left\{ i [E_i^\tau, A_i^\tau] \partial_\varphi \omega + \frac{1}{g} (\partial_i E_i^\tau - U_\tau (\partial_i E_i) U_\tau^{-1}) \partial_\varphi \omega \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

¹This technique does not apply, however, if an operator is not SU(3) invariant. For example, after the gauge transformation in (19), $\psi_\alpha(x_1) \psi_\beta(x_2)$ becomes $U_{\alpha\alpha'}(x_1) \psi_{\alpha'}(x_1) \psi_{\beta'}(x_2) U_{\beta'\beta}(x_2)$. In such case we are unable to make a simple remedy by changing the choice of $\rho[\omega]$, and the above proof of gauge invariance *fails*. Of course, further investigations might show that such gauge dependence cancels in the ratio of three-point to two-point Green functions, leaving the studied matrix element still gauge independent. However, if this is not the case, then on a color non-singlet state, the expectation values of $\vec{L}_q$, and even the expectation values of gauge *invariant* operators, will be gauge *non-invariant*; and we get a peculiar and surprising connection between gauge invariance and color confinement: *gauge invariance requires color singlet*.

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2}{g} \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left\{ -\partial_i (E_i^\tau \partial_\varphi \omega) + U_\tau (\partial_i E_i) U_\tau^{-1} \partial_\varphi \omega - \varepsilon^{ij3} (\partial_i \omega) E_j^\tau \right\} \\
& = 2 \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left\{ (\partial_\varphi \omega) \left(i [E_i^\tau, A_i^\tau] + \frac{1}{g} \partial_i E_i^\tau \right) - \frac{1}{g} \varepsilon^{ij3} (\partial_i \omega) E_j^\tau \right\}, \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

Use $\partial_i O = -i [P_{QCD}^i, O]$ in addition to $\partial_\varphi O = -i [J_{QCD}^3, O]$, with P_{QCD}^i the QCD translation operator (see eqs.(30, 31) below), all the terms can be written as commutators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta L_g^3 & = 2 \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \left[J_{QCD}^3, \text{Tr} \left(\omega [A_i^\tau, E_i^\tau] + \frac{i}{g} \omega \partial_i E_i^\tau \right) \right] \\
& \quad - \frac{2i}{g} \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \varepsilon^{ij3} [P_{QCD}^i, \text{Tr} (\omega E_j^\tau)]. \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

The calculation for S_g^3 is much simpler:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_g^3 & = \frac{2}{g} \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \varepsilon^{ij3} \text{Tr} \{ (\partial_i \omega) E_j^\tau \} \\
& = \frac{2i}{g} \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \varepsilon^{ij3} [P_{QCD}^i, \text{Tr} (\omega E_j^\tau)]. \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus according to our above theorem, we come to the conclusion that the quark's and gluon's spin and orbital contributions to nucleon spin, which are defined as the expectation values of S_q^3 , L_q^3 , S_g^3 , and L_g^3 on a nucleon state with definite momentum and polarization along the third direction, are all *separately* gauge independent, even though the operators L_q^3 , S_g^3 , and L_g^3 are not.

It should also be noted that the expectation values of L_q^3 , S_g^3 , and L_g^3 are not separately *Lorentz* invariant. In the infinite momentum frame of the nucleon (or equivalently, in the light-cone formalism) and the light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$, the first moment of the polarized gluon distribution measured in hard QCD processes can be related to the above local operator of gluon spin, which in the light-cone coordinates is accordingly [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
S_g^+ & = \int d^3x M_{S_g}^{+12} \\
& = 2 \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left\{ \left(\vec{E} \times \vec{A} \right)^3 + A_\perp \cdot B_\perp \right\}, \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

where $M^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ is the angular momentum tensor of QCD and the subscript S_g denotes the gluon spin part. The expectation value of S_g^+ on the $|ps\rangle$ state is obviously also gauge invariant, since in our above proving of gauge invariance, the nucleon momentum is not constrained.

The discussions for nucleon momentum and mass structures are similar. The QCD momentum operator can be written

$$\vec{P}_{QCD} = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \frac{1}{i} \vec{\partial} \psi + 2 \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left(E_i \vec{\partial} A_i \right), \tag{30}$$

or in an explicitly gauge invariant form

$$\vec{P}_{QCD} = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \frac{1}{i} \vec{D} \psi + 2 \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left(\vec{E} \times \vec{B} \right), \tag{31}$$

where $\vec{P}_q = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \frac{1}{i} \vec{\partial} \psi$ is the gauge dependent quark momentum operator. By the same techniques as above, it is easy to show that $\delta \vec{P}_q$ can be expressed as a commutator with $\vec{P}_{QCD}$, and hence the quark contribution to nucleon momentum is gauge independent. Since the total nucleon momentum is gauge independent, so does the gluon contribution.

The QCD Hamiltonian operator can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{QCD} & = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \left(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{i} \vec{D} + m\beta \right) \psi \\
& \quad + \int d^3x \text{Tr} \left(\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2 \right), \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\int d^3x \psi^\dagger \vec{\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{i} \vec{D} \psi$ is the (gauge invariant) operator for quark kinetic and potential energy, and it was shown by Ji that it contributes about one third of the nucleon mass [8]. On the other hand, the quark kinetic energy operator $E_K = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \vec{\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{i} \vec{\partial} \psi$ is gauge non-invariant, but the extra term it acquires after a gauge transformation can also be expressed as a commutator

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E_K &= - \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \psi_\tau^\dagger \vec{\alpha} \cdot \left(\vec{\partial} \omega \right) \psi_\tau \\ &= -i \int_0^1 d\tau \int d^3x \left[P_{QCD}^i, \psi^\dagger \alpha_i \omega \psi \right], \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

therefore the quark kinetic energy inside the nucleon is still gauge independent.

In summary, we showed that gauge *dependent* operators are possible to have gauge *invariant* matrix elements on some special physical states, and thus can have definite physical meanings and experimental significance. This includes all the gauge dependent operators encountered in the studies of hadron spin, momentum, and mass structures, and also of atomic energy levels. These operators have gauge independent expectation values on hadron or atomic states with definite momentum and polarization. Therefore the conventional decomposition of nucleon spin into contributions from the spin and orbital angular momentum of quark and gluon is gauge *independent*, although some of the corresponding operators are not; and similar conclusions apply to hadron energy and momentum structures.

We owe special thanks to T. Goldman, X. Ji, W. Lu, G. Sterman, and C.W. Wong for fruitful communications. This work is supported in part by the NSF (19675018), SEDC and SSTC of China.

Note added: What we obtained in this paper is a non-perturbative proof of gauge invariance, while gauge invariance to each order of perturbative expansion does not necessarily follow, and needs separate studies. Some relevant perturbative calculations can be found in ref. [9].

-
- [1] I. Balitsky and U. Braun, Phys. Lett. B **267**, 405 (1991).
 - [2] R.L. Jaffe, Phys. Lett. B **365**, 359 (1996).
 - [3] T.D. Lee, *Particle Physics and Introduction to Field Theory* (Harwood Academic Publishers, New York, 1981).
 - [4] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 610 (1997).
 - [5] X.S. Chen and F. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **27**, 121 (1997).
 - [6] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields* (Volume II), §15.5 (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1996).
 - [7] K. Huang, *Quarks, Leptons, & gauge fields* (2th edition), p.72 (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992); note that there is a sign error in Huang's book.
 - [8] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1071 (1995).
 - [9] P. Hoodbhoy, X. Ji and W. Lu, hep-ph/9808305.